1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 RANDOLPH L. MOORE, Case No. 2:13-cv-0655-JCM-DJA 8 Petitioner, ORDER GRANTING MOTION 9 FOR EXTENSION OF TIME ٧. (ECF NO. 146) 10 WILLIAM GITTERE, et al., 11 Respondents. 12 13 14 In this capital habeas corpus action, after a 120-day initial period and a 62-day 15 extension of time, the respondents were due to file an answer by October 11, 2021. 16 See Order entered April 12, 2021 (ECF No. 143) (120 days for answer); Order entered 17 August 4, 2021 (ECF No. 145) (62-day extension of time). 18 On October 7, 2021, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF 19 No. 146), requesting a further 30-day extension, to November 10, 2021. Respondents' 20 counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of his obligations in 21 other cases. Petitioner does not oppose the motion for extension of time. 22 The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good 23 faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the 24 requested extension of time. 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Respondents' Motion for Extension of Time (ECF No. 146) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until and including **November 10, 2021**, to file their answer.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner will have 120 days to file a reply to Respondents' answer; in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the February 5, 2019, scheduling order (ECF No. 51), will remain in effect.

DATED November 1, 2021.

JAMES C. MAHAN,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGET